

# Early Universe : Problem Sheet 1

Deadline: Week 5, 4th March, at 12:00. Approximate marks in [], with this sheet marked as a total out of 85. Hand in at the MPS School Office. Solutions submitted up 24 hours late will be attract a penalty of 5%; no solutions will be accepted more than 24 hours late.

Q 1 is relativity revision (related to cosmology - the result is needed later in the course); you could start work on this immediately.

## 1 The spatial curvature [17]

Calculate the 3-curvature, the Ricci scalar of the spatial part of the metric at fixed time:

$$dl^2 = a^2 \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - Kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \right].$$

(first calculate the Christoffel symbols, then the curvature tensors). Note that at fixed time, there are no time derivatives.

## 2 Extra relativistic species [20]

According to the standard assumptions, there are three species of (massless) neutrinos. In the temperature range of  $1\text{MeV} < T < 100\text{MeV}$ , the density of the universe is believed to have been dominated by the black-body radiation of photons, electron-positron pairs, and three neutrinos all of which were in thermal equilibrium.

1. Neglecting any change in the degrees of freedom at  $T > 100\text{MeV}$ , show using the Friedmann equation for a flat radiation-dominated universe  $H^2 = 8\pi G\rho_R/3$  that for temperatures  $T > 1\text{MeV}$  the time since the start of the hot big bang is given by

$$t(T) = \left( \frac{A}{g_*} \right)^{1/2} \frac{M_P}{T^2}$$

where  $M_P \equiv \sqrt{\hbar c/(8\pi G)}$  is the reduced Planck mass, and  $A$  is a constant that you should give explicitly. What is  $g_*$ ? Put in  $\hbar$ ,  $c$  and  $k_B$  factors give a result in standard rather than natural units. How long did it take from the big bang for the temperature to fall to  $T = 1\text{MeV}$ ? [Give the result in seconds]. [7,2]

2. How much time would it have taken if there were one other species of massless neutrino, in addition to the three which we are currently assuming? [3]
3. What would be the density of the universe (in  $kg/m^3$  units) when  $T = 1\text{MeV}$  under the standard assumptions, and what would it be if there were one other species of massless neutrino? What is the temperature in Kelvin at  $T = 1\text{MeV}$ , and what is the redshift? [4,3]
4. What approximation have you made about the electrons and positron velocities, and is it reasonable? [1]

### 3 Freeze out of muons [16]

Muons  $\mu^-$  are essentially identical to electrons, except that they are heavier ( $m_\mu = 106\text{MeV}$ ); other than that, they also have the same charge and spin as the electron, and there is an antimuon  $\mu^+$  analogous to the positron.

1. What is the value of the effective  $g_*$  for muons when they are relativistic? [2]
2. When the temperature  $T$  is a little above  $106\text{MeV}$ , what particles besides the muons are contained in the thermal radiation that fills the universe? What is the total effective  $g_*$ ? [5]
3. As  $T$  falls below  $106\text{MeV}$ , the muons disappear from the thermal equilibrium radiation. At these temperatures all of the other particles in the black-body radiation are interacting fast enough to maintain equilibrium, so the heat given off from the muons is shared among all the other particles. Letting  $a$  denote the FRW scale factor, by what factor does the quantity  $aT$  increase when the muons disappear? [In case you worry about it, ignore pions and QCD] [9]

### 4 CMB blackbody and $\mu$ -distortions [16]

The distribution function of photons in a homogeneous and isotropic photon gas in kinetic equilibrium is

$$f_\gamma(E, T) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{e^{(E-\mu_\gamma)/T} - 1}.$$

Consider the homogenous universe well after electron-positron annihilation is complete and ignore the very small effect of baryons.

1. At high temperatures  $T \gg T_c \sim 0.5\text{keV}$  double Compton scattering ( $e^- + \gamma \leftrightarrow e^- + \gamma + \gamma$ ) happens frequently in equilibrium. In this case explain why  $\mu_\gamma = 0$ . [2]
2. At lower temperatures  $T \ll T_c$  double Compton scattering no longer happens, and in general  $\mu_\gamma$  can be non-zero. As the gas cools below  $T_c$  it initially maintains its thermal distribution with  $\mu_\gamma = 0$ . If a small amount of energy is then injected into the photon gas to give an increase in the energy density by  $\epsilon$ , show by doing a first order series expansion in  $\delta T$  and  $\mu_\gamma$  that after (rapid) kinetic thermalization

$$\epsilon \approx \frac{T^4}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^x x^3 dx}{(e^x - 1)^2} \left[ \frac{\mu_\gamma}{T} + x \frac{\delta T}{T} \right],$$

where the temperature is changed by  $\delta T$  and the chemical potential is changed by  $\mu_\gamma$  (from zero). You can assume that  $|\mu_\gamma/T| \ll 1$ ,  $|\delta T/T| \ll 1$ . [7]

3. If the energy injection increases the energy density *without* changing the number density of photons  $n_\gamma$ , show that after kinetic thermalization

$$\frac{\mu_\gamma}{T} \approx \frac{\epsilon}{\rho_\gamma} \frac{CX_3}{X_3^2 - X_2 X_4}$$

where  $X_k$  and  $C$  are defined to be the values of the integrals

$$X_k \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{x^k e^x dx}{(e^x - 1)^2} \quad C \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{x^3 dx}{e^x - 1}.$$

[This shows that processes depositing energy at  $T < 0.5\text{keV}$  can give rise to a “ $\mu$ -distortion” in the CMB, i.e. a not-exactly blackbody spectrum.] [7]

## 5 Neutrino mass [16]

At least two neutrinos are thought to have a small mass, but small enough that in the early universe the neutrinos are still very relativistic. Assuming zero neutrino chemical potential:

1. The equilibrium distribution function at temperature  $T$  for a single neutrino species in the limit in which the mass can be neglected, using natural units where  $k_B = c = \hbar = 1$ , is

$$f_\nu(p, T) = \frac{g_\nu}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{e^{p/T} + 1}$$

What is the meaning of  $f_\nu$  and  $p$  here, and what is the value of  $g_\nu$ ? [2]

2. After a massive neutrino has completely decoupled at temperature  $T_D$  and scale factor  $a_D$ , show that the energy density in these neutrinos is given by

$$\rho_\nu = \frac{T_\nu^4}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^2 dx \sqrt{m_\nu^2/T_\nu^2 + x^2}}{e^x + 1}$$

where  $T_\nu \equiv T_D a_D / a$  [assume the neutrino was highly relativistic when it decoupled, so  $m_\nu/T_D \ll 1$  is negligible]. [5]

3. By considering a series expansion for small  $m_\nu/T_\nu$  show that if there is a massless neutrino with energy density  $\rho_{\nu 0}$ , for a nearly-relativistic massive neutrino with mass  $m_\nu$

$$\rho_\nu \approx \rho_{\nu 0} \left( 1 + \frac{5}{7\pi^2} \frac{m_\nu^2}{T_\nu^2} \right)$$

to leading order in  $m_\nu/T_\nu$ . You can assume all the neutrinos were in thermal equilibrium before they decoupled. [6]

4. The effect of massive neutrinos can be seen in the linear CMB anisotropies if they are massive enough to affect the background evolution before recombination, e.g. when  $\rho_\nu$  is significantly larger than  $\rho_{\nu 0}$ . Approximately what is the lightest neutrino (in electron volts) that has an observable effect on the linear CMB anisotropies? [3]